



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

JERRY MICHAEL BOGGS,  
Petitioner,

vs.

WARDEN, Edgefield Satellite Prison Camp,  
Respondent.

§  
§  
§  
§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 8:09-227-HFF-BHH  
§  
§  
§

---

---

ORDER

---

---

This case was filed as a 28 U.S.C. § 2241 action. Petitioner is proceeding pro se. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that the action be dismissed with prejudice for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the Court's orders pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on July 27, 2009, but Petitioner failed to file any objections to the Report. In the absence of such objections, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report to the extent it is not inconsistent with this Order and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of the Court that the action be **DISMISSED** *without prejudice*\* for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the Court's orders pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Signed this 20th day of August, 2009, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd  
HENRY F. FLOYD  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

\*\*\*\*\*

**NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL**

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within 60 days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

---

\*Before dismissing a case with prejudice for failure to prosecute courts should consider four factors, "(1) the plaintiff's degree of personal responsibility; (2) the amount of prejudice caused the defendant; (3) the presence of a drawn out history of deliberately proceeding in a dilatory fashion; and (4) the effectiveness of sanctions less drastic than dismissal." *Hillig v. Commissioner*, 916 F.2d 171, 174 (4th Cir. 1990). Having considered these factors, the Court finds that dismissal should be *without* prejudice.